

c) Remarks

The claims are 1, 3-8 and 12 with claims 1 and 12 the independent claims. Claim 12 has been amended to better define the intended invention. Reconsideration of the claims is requested.

It is gratefully acknowledged that claims 1 and 3-8 are allowed.

Claim 12 was rejected as obvious over Speakman '564. Speakman is said not to teach that the electrically conductive member has portions in contact with an organic semiconductor, but the Examiner argues that it would have been obvious to do so based on the state of the art. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

To further clarify the differences between the present invention and Speakman, claim 12 has been amended to provide that a portion of the colloidal particles are in the pores of the substrate to anchor the particles forming the film to the substrate surface. This feature is absent from Speakman.

Wherefore, the amendment should be entered, the final rejection withdrawn, the claims allowed and the case passed to issue.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Peter Saxon /

Peter Saxon

Registration. No. 24,947

Attorney for Applicants

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200